



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/752,642 | 01/07/2004 | Naofumi Nakamura | 790001-2042 | 4109 |
| 20/999 7590 08/11/2008 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| CHU, CHRIS C | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2815 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 08/11/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/752,642

Applicant(s)

NAKAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

CHRIS C. CHU

Art Unit

2815

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRIS C. CHU (USPTO-Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Grace L. Pan (Applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chan et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,468,906) and Jan (U. S. Pat. No. 6,861,758).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant further explained the invention and presented arguments directed to the Jan reference teaching the additive in the via between the two metal wiring layers. Applicant argued that the two metal wiring layers contain the additive. No agreement was reached. These arguments as well as any other amendments will be fully considered when filed in response to the final rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Chris C. Chu/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.